

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/617,505 07/11/2003 Kenji Mukai 52478-0517 4004 EXAMINER 7590 03/11/2005 Joseph W. Price YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE PRICE, GESS & UBELL PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Ste. 250 2100 S.E. Main St. 1774 Irvine, CA 92614

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Λ.		(-0	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/617,505	MUKAI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the total reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ulv 2003.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠					
5)□					
6)□					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-83</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/880,612. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	ıt(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)	50	
	· ·	-/ L		1/7/	

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

(a) a light source/luminaire having the characteristics recited in claims 8 and 68 and/or having the characteristics recited in claims 23 and 72 (the characteristics recited in 8/68 being similar to those recited in 23/72); or

(b) a light source/luminaire having the characteristics recited in claims 38 and 76 and/or having the characteristics recited in claims 53 and 80 (the characteristics recited in 38/76 being similar to those recited in 53/80);

wherein for (a) or (b), the light source/luminaire comprises a combination of three or four phosphors which together provide bivalent europium, bivalent manganese, trivalent terbium and trivalent europium wherein the three or four phosphors are selected from those recited in present claims 11-14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits-to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic with respect to species (a) and (b).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. For example, an election of species (a) with a further election of the phosphors BaMgAl₁₀O₁₇:Eu²⁺,Mn²⁺; LaPO₄:Ce³⁺, Tb³⁺; and Y₂O₃:Eu³⁺ is one possible response to the election of species requirement. Present claims 8-18, 23-35, 37 and

68-75 would read on this species. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Joseph Price on March 03, 2005 to request an oral election to the above election of species requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Price requested that the requirement be made in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax numbers for Art Unit 1774 are (703) 872-9306 for all official faxes. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY March 07, 2005

> MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Yamitzky

1174